## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Eastern District of Michigan

United States of America	)
v.	) Case No. 17-30186
SADARIAN PETERSON	) Case No. 17-30180
Defendant	)
ORDER OF DETEN	TION PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligib	oility for Detention
Upon the	
✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursua  Motion of the Government or Court's own	ant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or notion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)	n is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact, in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and La	w as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
<del></del>	C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable ditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person shave been met:
(1) the defendant is charged with one of the fo	ollowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
	3 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; <b>or</b>
(b) an offense for which the maximum s	sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §	m of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the § 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); <b>or</b>
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two	onvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal on of such offenses; or
	me of violence but involves: a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
	ted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. at would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
	ove for which the defendant has been convicted was e pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>
	lapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the e described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.  OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:   By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted  Prior criminal history  Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision  History of violence or use of weapons  History of alcohol or substance abuse
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse   Lack of stable employment
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:     By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse   Lack of stable employment   Lack of stable residence
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:    By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.    By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:    Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong   Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted   Prior criminal history   Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision   History of violence or use of weapons   History of alcohol or substance abuse   Lack of stable employment

Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Defendant is 37 years old, single with five children, four of whom are minors, who has been living with his grandmother in Detroit for the past 20 years. He is charge in a criminal complaint with Possession with Intent to Distribute Herion. He has been unemployed for the past 7 years and collects Social Security Disability for closed head injuries suffered in a 2009 motorcycle accident. Defendant admits to regular use of marijuana since the age of 16. Defendant has a long criminal history beginning with a 1998 guilty plea for Delivery/Use Marijuana and Driving with a Suspended license; Defendant was convicted of Felony Possession of Marijuana in 2002, along with Resisting and Obstructing a Police Officer (probation closed without improvement); Violation of Probation, 2004; Conviction 2004 Felony Dangerous Drugs; Conviction for Stolen Property, Receiving and Concealing, 2011; 2012 Violation of Probation; Misdemeanor Larceny 2012; Felony Fraudulent Activities, 2016; Dangerous Drugs, 2014. Defendant was pulled over in Dearborn on a traffic stop on 4/11/2017 for a suspended license. The officers smelled burned marijuana in the car and asked the Defendant to step outside the vehicle. When the officer attempted to handcuff Defendant, Defendant pulled away and ran. Defendant, in an astonishing display of agility, ran eastbound across the Southfield service drive, jumped a fence, and ran down onto the Southfield expressway. He continued to run eastbound across all lanes of traffic in broad daylight. He was observed running eastbound in a field east of the expressway when he was eventually apprehended. Defendant's Dodge Durango was then searched and a black duffle bag was found on the back seat floorboard. Inside the duffle bag were two shirts, a square object wrapped in red tape, with a white substance all over it, a marijuana pipe, three cell phones, a wallet with Defendant's name on it. The red taped package was found to contain 1,038 grams of heroin with packaging, indicative of a distribution quantity. Pretrial Services interviewed this Defendant and concluded that he posed a risk of flight based upon his conduct at the time of his arrest, his substance abuse history, and his criminal history. This Court finds that a preponderance of evidence establishes Defendant as a flight risk. Pretrial Services also concluded that Defendant poses a risk of danger based upon the nature of the instant offense, Defendant's prior criminal history of arrests and convictions, his substance abuse history, his violations of probation, his continued criminal activity while under court supervision, his history of charges involving domestic violence, and his continued patern of similar criminal activity. Pretrial Services felt that conditions could be imposed to minimize the risk of danger to the community. This Court respectfully disagrees, and finds that there is clear and convincing evidence that this Defendant poses a danger to the community. The presumption of detention has not been successfully rebutted. The relevant statutory factors have been reviewed and analyzed. There is no condition or combination of conditions that would assure Defendant's appearance or the safety of the community. Detention is therefore Ordered.

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: April 19, 2017	s/Mona K. Majzoub
	Judge's Signature
	Mona K. Majzoub, U.S. Magistrate Judge
	Name and Title